

Promoting Student and Tutor Success: Adapting Writing Center Observation Theory to

Best Practices for General Subject Tutoring Observations

Maureen R. McCoy

University of Louisville

Tutoring session observations allow tutor trainers and center coordinators to assess how tutors foster independence in student learning, uphold center philosophies, and incorporate effective tutoring techniques. In order to contribute more to the field of general subject tutoring, this paper will explore some of the practices and expectations that have become a part of observations in tutoring centers. Best practices for conducting general tutoring observations are adaptable from writing center theory and practices in order to help tutoring coordinators utilize this practice in the most effective ways.

Maureen R. McCoy is a Program Coordinator at the REACH Learning Resource Center, University of Louisville. Phone: 502.852.8382 Email: mrmco03@louisville.edu

Observations of live tutoring sessions are some of the most valuable tools to help tutor trainers and learning center coordinators understand what is actually going on within their centers. Because supervisors cannot be present at every tutoring session, live observations can provide a snapshot of the interactions between tutors and students, allowing the observer to get a glimpse of how tutors are incorporating and applying their training to real student scenarios. With this type of information, trainers can adjust their plans, or re-iterate specific practices in trainings. Moreover, observations allow coordinators to see how tutors are representing and furthering their center's mission and goals. In order to contribute more to the field of general subject tutoring, this paper will explore some of the practices and expectations that have become a part of observations in tutoring centers. Best practices for conducting general tutoring observations can be adapted from writing center theory in order to help tutoring coordinators utilize this practice in the most effective ways.

Adapting Writing Center Observation Techniques

While much academic literature on observation best practice has been written in reference to writing consultations (Devet, 1990; Gillespie & Lerner, 2008; North, 1984), little can be found in reference to general subject tutoring, which can vary in topic from accounting to biology, or sociology to Spanish. The purpose of a writing consultation is to help students become better writers by emphasizing the development of the writing process and the student as a writer (North, 1984). Consultations are not proof-reading services, and consultants are trained to help students reflect on their approach to prompts and the process of writing in general, from brainstorming to reviewing a draft.

Similar to writing center theory and practice, peer tutoring in any subject focuses on helping students develop into better learners through collaboration with trained peers who have succeeded in the classes or subjects they tutor. The specific techniques employed by a biology tutor helping a student to understand mitosis will be quite different from the way in which a writing consultation helps a student review a draft. However, the goals of promoting independence, self-regulation, and self-reflection, and helping students understand the expectations of a college curriculum are present in both sessions.

In order to find out if these goals are indicative of a typical tutoring session, observations can be used to assess each tutor's adherence to and understanding of the philosophy of the tutoring center (Devet, 1990). Devet began her observation practice by adapting Ned Flander's tool, "Flander's Interaction Analysis Categories" (FIAC), a system designed to observe teachers in a classroom setting in order to better evaluate the relationship between the teacher and the students (p. 76). Flander's classroom analysis was adapted to the relationship between the tutor and the client in a typical writing consultation at a university. Specifically, Devet wanted to use her observations to ensure that tutors were not writing for the students, a practice warned against by Paulette Scott (Scott, 1987, p. 9). She hoped that this would emphasize "to [tutors] the philosophy of tutoring itself" (Devet, 1990, p. 81), so that they could help the students improve their writing process.

Devet's observation form consists of ten simple questions based on how often the tutor performs certain actions or employees certain techniques (which represents

seven of the questions), as well as how often a client is involved in the session in various ways (p. 77). She suggests using general indicators (such as almost always, sometimes, etc.) rather than numerical values so as to “allow room for variation among tutors without causing rancor when one tutorial scores 60% and another 50% (p. 77).” This suggestion is in line with Devet’s goal to emphasize the development of skills within the philosophies of the writing discipline, rather than concern tutors with a grade. This form is a starting point for those designing observations to begin by asking themselves how these essential questions can be adapted to their centers.

Devet offers some advice for observation coordinators on structuring the session. For instance, she encourages observers to sit off to the side of the session in order to make the tutor and student feel more relaxed (p. 81). She also suggests that coordinators allow tutors to decide which session they would like to have observed so as to alleviate undue pressure to perform well in a stressful session (81). The assumption is that tutors may feel more comfortable being observed in a session with a student they know well, as they can jump right in to the material or paper without having to spend time on introductions. However, observing sessions with students who are working with a tutor for the first time can also be informative. Observers can see how well tutors build rapport and what strategies they use to make students feel comfortable and to start a discussion. Setting a positive tone and creating an inviting atmosphere for students is an important first step in both the MacDonald Tutoring Cycle (MacDonald, 1994, p. 25) and the Appreciative Tutoring Cycle (Grogan, 2011, p. 83). Thus, it is important for observers (who may also be trainers or coordinators) to know if tutors are exercising

what they have learned in training. Uncomfortable or unproductive climates may discourage students from using tutoring services in the future, a detriment to the center and the students who need assistance.

Another suggestion she provides is that observers review the forms with tutors immediately after the session. The ideology behind this is that the tutor will be relieved for the observation to be over and will be more open in a conversation about tutoring skills and techniques that were effective or not in the session, helping them gain new ideas for future sessions (Devet, 1990, p. 81). Not all centers may choose to have observers talk to tutors immediately. Observations may be tied to a more formal and comprehensive job evaluation, which may be done at another time. Trainers and coordinators should decide what works best for their centers based on their goals for tutor development. They should also look at other resources for ideas of structure for their observation practice and the building of a universal observation form for their tutors.

In *The Allyn and Bacon Guide to Peer Tutoring*, authors Paula Gillespie and Neal Lerner (2004) outline helpful tips for structuring an observation of writing consultations. While Lerner and Gillespie are referring to tutors observing other tutors in the Writing Center as part of their student staff development, their practices, along with Devet's form, can be helpful for setting up a system of observations. They advocate getting permission of the tutor and the client (Gillespie & Lerner, 2004, p. 62), taking notes during the session (though these are more opened ended than Devet's form) (p. 64), and discussing the session with the tutor afterwards (p. 65). They also provide a list of questions for reflection, ranging from communication skills to student autonomy, and the

rights and responsibilities of the tutor and student (Gillespie & Lerner, 2004, p. 65-66).

These questions may be used by coordinators as a starting place to decide what other parts of the tutoring cycle or goals of the center should be included in the observation.

For instance, some centers have a strong focus on critical thinking techniques in sessions. Observers should have a guideline for what types of categorized or specific techniques tutors may use to promote critical thinking during sessions. This is also a good resource for those designing observations forms for tutors to observe their peers, which may be part of a training program. Peer-to-peer observations can help new tutors learn from the styles and techniques of more experienced tutors.

Gillespie and Lerner (2004) remind observers to be open-minded about how one person approaches a problem with a student, or how a tutor may interpret a student's response (p. 64). It is important to remember that one tutor's approach may not always be the most correct one; it may be the inclination for some observers to assume that their approach is only correct one. Observers should be aware that the tutors may know their students better (if they have met before) and may have a better understanding of how to get that student to participate and be productive in a session. Each tutor's approach to explaining a concept will also vary depending on their experience in the class and their learning preferences. Therefore, it is important to have overall expectations for all tutors based on best practice and well-documented theories—such as the Tutoring Cycle—but observers should allow for variation and individual adaption within these parameters. Observations can provide insight into the innovative ways in which tutors are working with students. Effective techniques can be documented and

shared with other tutors, while ineffective techniques can be corrected, either with the individual tutor or in a larger training session if the problem is more widespread among the tutoring staff.

While considering the advice given in these resources about observations, it is important to remember that the questions that writing observations seek to answer vary from those for general tutoring sessions. Tutors not only help students understand course content, but show them new tools and strategies to master material in order to further their independence in the learning process (Grogan, 2011). Therefore, the approach a writing consultant may take to helping a student outline a paper will be distinct from the techniques a tutor may use to help a student understand a biology concept, figure out how to study for a history exam, or solve a math problem. Observers in either a general tutoring or writing center must structure their policies and procedures so as to allow for a diverse number of techniques and approaches, depending on the style of the tutor, the course content, and the individual needs of a student. Coordinators of tutoring programs, however, may choose which learning processes they want to emphasize in their observations.

Implementation and Importance of Observation Practice

Writing center literature and research provides a basis on which best practices for observations in a general subject tutoring center can be designed. Trainers and coordinators should evaluate their needs and what they want to accomplish with their observations. Some potential questions that coordinators should ask when designing their observation programs and forms may include:

- What is the center's mission statement? What is the university's mission statement?
- What is our purpose in observing the tutors? What do we want tutors or students to take away from these observations?
- What are we looking for in observations: what specific techniques, processes, skills, etc. do we want to see tutors incorporating?
- How are observations set up now at this institution? OR How and when can observations be conducted at this institution?
- What resources (both in terms of staff and theoretical framework) do we have when designing the observation process?
- What do we want to change or improve in our current observation practice?
- What sets our observation process apart from other institutions? What innovations have we implemented that we can share with others? How can we learn from what other institutions are doing?

By determining goals or outcomes for what development opportunities or feedback observations should provide for tutors, coordinators can more accurately assess their tutors' use and understanding of tutoring techniques, implementation of training, and understanding of the center's philosophy.

Coordinators may choose to use specific training topics or resources to build a universal form to use in any subject tutoring session. This allows them to evaluate each employee on the same criteria. They may choose to look at the tutor's incorporation of the Tutoring Cycle, for example, the traditional MacDonald Tutoring Cycle (MacDonald,

1994), or even the Appreciative Tutoring model (Grogan, 2011). Other training topics that may be incorporated into the form include the usage of strategic questioning or active listening techniques to help students understand material, communication skills, or the incorporation of critical thinking strategies that foster independence, such as the Paul and Elder Intellectual Traits (Valuable Intellectual Traits, n.d.) and Bloom's Taxonomy (Krathwohl, 2002). The coordinator or trainer can decide how best to evaluate each technique or skill depending on the training given to the tutors.

The benefit of building topics like these into the observation form is that trainers can assess how effective their training was in these areas. These are common training topics which are often required for training certification programs, including the International Tutor Training Certification Program through the College Reading and Learning Association (International Tutor Training Certification Program, n.d.). Trainers can adapt their activities or lectures based on how the tutors use what they have learned, allowing for more effective training. As new research and resources become available, trainers can incorporate them into their lessons, as well as their observation forms, to see how effective they are for the students at their centers.

Another resource for supervisors is the Peer Consultant Evaluation form created by Nicole Magee and Carley Reynolds (Magee & Reynolds, 2015), which breaks down the consultant's behaviors and skills into categories such as effective listening, critical thinking, and overall effectiveness. Jennifer B. Ellis and Angela Grimaldi (2015) provide information on how tutors can provide more effective feedback to students. However, their design principles of specificity, supportiveness, strategies, and significance can

also be applied to providing feedback to tutors as employees. Only with specific directions and within a supportive environment can a tutor continue to develop their skills within their position. Without guidelines for design, feedback can have a negative impact on those being evaluated or observed (Ellis & Grimaldi, 2015, p. 278).

Another benefit of observation practice is that it is a communication tool to open up a dialogue among tutors and trainers about tutoring practices and philosophies. One of the primary skills tutors must have is the ability to communicate clearly and effectively. Studies have shown that speakers have a tendency to overestimate their impact on listeners (Keysar & Henly, 2002). Just like the speakers, tutors are not always aware of their own effectiveness, and they may underestimate or overestimate how much a student is really understanding or gaining from a session. This curse of knowledge, or talking in subject-specific jargon that is unknown to the tutee, is a habit which every tutor and writing consultant must be aware of. An observation of a tutoring session by an outsider allows for an alternative perspective, and this can spark meaningful conversations about tutoring techniques. They can help tutors see what skills that have mastered, and where and when they can modify their techniques in order to get students to think on a deeper level, or get them more engaged in their own learning process.

One necessity when setting up observation procedures is to understand what resources are available in one's center. Some centers use more experienced tutors (perhaps those with advanced certifications or additional training) to observe less experienced employees, while in other centers the coordinator observes the majority of

the tutors. Coordinators and supervisors should assess the amount of work required to effectively observe their employees and determine how to distribute the workload. This is perhaps the most important part of designing an observation procedure; these should be a part of best practices in any center, and they should not be a burden or inconvenience for coordinators or tutoring staff.

Tutoring observations benefit both students and tutors in the learning center. Tutors can improve their skills, get advice, and ensure that they are promoting the goals of their employer. Students benefit from tutors who are well-informed, well-trained, and experienced. Writing center practices for observations provide examples for how to organize the observation process, and these practices can be adapted to be accommodate a broader range of topics covered in general subject tutoring. Observations of live tutoring sessions are effective tools to help tutors improve their customer service skills and tutoring techniques. Trainers and coordinators can use them to assess training and adjust it as needed. They can also use them as tools to have conversations about best practices within their unique center, and as evaluation tools to help improve the quality of services, a benefit for both the tutors as professionals. The development of live observation practices for each types of learning center in the university center will be unique to that center, but the practice outlined here are a starting point for coordinators to start thinking about how to make their observations effective and constructive for all involved.

References

- Devet, B. (1990). A method for observing and evaluating writing lab tutorials. *The Writing Lab Journal* 10.2, 75-85.
- Ellis, J. B., & Grimaldi, A. (2015). *Designing and delivering effective feedback*. In W. Atkins-Sayre & E. L. Yook (Eds.) *Communicating advice: Peer tutoring and communication practice* (pp. 278-290). New York: Peter Lang.
- Gillespie, P., & Lerner, N. (2004). *The Allyn and Bacon guide to peer tutoring*. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Grogan, J. (2011). The appreciative tutor. *Journal of College Reading and Learning* 42.1, 80-88.
- International Tutor Training Certification Program. (n.d.). In *College Reading and Learning Association* online. Retrieved from <http://crla.net/index.php/certifications/ittpc-international-tutor-training-program>
- Keysar, B., & Henly, A. S. (2002). Speakers' overestimation of their effectiveness. *Psychological Sciences* 13.3, 207-212.
- Krathwohl, D. R (2002). A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41 (4), 212-218.
- MacDonald, R. B. (1994). *The master tutor*. Cambridge: Stratford, LTD.
- Magee, N., & Reynolds, C. (2015). *Peer consultant evaluation*. In W. Atkins-Sayre & E. L. Yook (Eds.) *Communicating advice: Peer tutoring and communication practice* (pp. 207-209). New York: Peter Lang.

Munger, R. H., Rubenstein, I., & Burrow, E. (1996). Observation, inter-action, and

reflection: The foundation for tutor training. *The Writing Lab Newsletter* 21.4, 1-5.

North, S (1984). The idea of a writing center. In C. Murphy & S. Sherwood (Eds.) *The St.*

Martin's sourcebook for writing tutors (4th Ed.), (pp. 44-58). Boston: Bedford St.

Martin's.

Scott, P. Tutor-student conferences: Theories and strategies. *Writing Lab Newsletter*

12 (Nov 1987): 8-12.

Valuable intellectual traits. (n.d.) In *The Critical Thinking Community* online. Retrieved

from <https://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/valuable-intellectual-traits/528>